



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,705	02/12/2007	Xavier Muyldermans	L0010/US	9509

30522 7590 06/09/2011
KRATON POLYMERS U.S. LLC
16400 Park Row
HOUSTON, TX 77084

EXAMINER

KRYLOVA, IRINA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1764

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/09/2011

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kratonip@kraton.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/589,705	Applicant(s) MUYLDERMANS ET AL.	
	Examiner IRINA KRYLOVA	Art Unit 1764	

All Participants:

(1) IRINA KRYLOVA.

(2) Mr. Gregory Clements.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2011

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13, 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Although at the present stage of prosecution an Appeal Brief has already been filed in the present application, upon reconsideration of the issues involved, and in order to advance prosecution in a constructive manner, Applicant was contacted to discuss possible amendment to instant claims 13 and 25 to overcome the previously applied prior art rejections.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vasu Jagannathan/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1764

/Irina Krylova/
Examiner, Art Unit 1764

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20110603